

Application No.: 10/611,423Docket No.: 1509-425**REMARKS**

This is in full and timely response to the above-identified Office Action. The above listing of the claims supersedes any previous listing. Favorable reexamination and reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the preceding amendments and the following remarks.

In response to the objection to the number of digits in the paragraph numbers, each number has been amended in the manner indicated above.

In this response, the claims have been reviewed and amended in a manner which both improves syntax and form and overcomes the rejections under 35 USC §§ 112, 102.

More specifically, independent claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 2 (which is accordingly cancelled). Inasmuch as claim 2 has been indicated as containing allowable subject matter, and claim 9 has been amended to obviate the use of Bluetooth™, it is respectfully submitted that these amendments are such that claims 1 and 3-9 are such as to stand in *prima facie* condition for allowance.

Independent claim 10 stands allowed;

Independent claim 11 has been amended to replace the term Bluetooth™ with "wireless piconet." Inasmuch as claim 11 is rejected only under 35 USC § 112, it is submitted that the clarifying amendment is such as to place this claim in allowable form.

Independent claim 12 has been amended via the introduction of the subject matter of claim 13 and to obviate the use of the phrase "arranged to." While not expressly stated in this Office Action, it is assumed, for the sake of response, that claims 13-14 contain allowable subject matter inasmuch as they correspond in content to claims 2-3 which have been indicated as being allowable.

Claims 14 and 15 have been amended to render them dependent on claim 12 and claim 22 has been amended to improve form and to overcome the objection that it fails to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claims 12, 14-19 and 22 are therefore believed to stand in allowable form.

Independent claim 20 stands allowed.

Independent claim 21 has been amended to replace the term Bluetooth™ with wireless piconet and thus overcome the rejection under 34 USC § 112. Inasmuch as this claim is not

Application No.: 10/611,423Docket No.: 1509-425

rejected under art and is now rendered clear and distinct, it is submitted that it also stands in condition for allowance.

Finally, claim 23 has been amended to properly limit the subject matter of the claim from which it depends and thus overcome the rejection under 35 USC § 112.

In conclusion claims 1, 3-9, 11, 12-19 and 21-23, stand in condition for allowance along with allowed claims 10 and 20. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application is therefore courteously solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 08-2025 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

**Ian Robert JOHNSON**

By: **Benjamin J. Hauptman**  
Registration No. 29,310

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY**  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400  
Telephone: 703-684-1111  
Facsimile: 70-898-0640

**CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL**  
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED TO THE  
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW

**Kindra Bryant**

**TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON SIGNING CERTIFICATION**

**SIGNATURE**

**April 21, 2006**

**DATE**

**(571) 273-8300**  
**FACSIMILE NUMBER**